RFFO F 4200.33# (2/96) (Surgreedes RFO F 1331.1)

## U.S. Department of Energy Rocky Flats Field Office

		Procu	remen	t Heque	st—Autho	prizati	on			
To Awarding Offic     DOE/RFFO/CMD	θ	TPA Code R	U	3.	PR Number	34-001	RF00825.00	4		
DOLKITO/CMD		Neg. Code A	.012	4.	Change/Corre	ection to	a PR in Pro	cess		
0. 51-11-11-2-011				5.	If Item 4 is ch	ecked,	Enter PR Co	rrection	Letter	
2. From Initiating Offi U.S. Dept. of Energy		Office, Contracts Mana	agement	6.	Acquisi	ition		Assista	ance	
Division, P.O. Box 9	28, Bldg 131, Golde	n, CO 80401-0928		7. Consistent with Principal Purpose of Program						
8. Purpose of Acquis	ition (Check as many	as apply)			cription of W					
Supplies		Research & Developm	ent	MODI MOD.		REVISI	ON TO PEF	₹FORM	IANCE RATING PL	ANS.
Construction		Advisory & Assistance	Svcs	MOD.	#155					
Architect/Engine		Support Services								
Other (Requires PBIMC	Description)			Has List of Sources Been Attached?						
10. Name KAISE	R-HILL CO., LLC			11. Ac	dress					
10a. Division										
12. Product or Service Code for Acquisition Actions Only				7						
13. CFDA Number For Assistance Actions Only:			Propos	ed Instrument	t: 14. (	Cooperative A	\greeme	ent 🔲 15. Grant	t 🔲	
16. OSTI Deliverable	For All Actions 17	'. (Reserved)		18. Ma	ster BIN		<u></u>	19	Desired Award Date	,
AZ	and Niverban		1 011							
20. Unsolicited Propo				t Number						
22. Government Rrop	erty F-Furnished, P	-Purchased, B-Both, N			- -					
23. Government Shar	70	24. Awardee	·	CIAL DA	IA		 5. Total			
20. Government onto				S COMMI	TTED		J. 10tal	<del></del>		
26. Approp.Symbol	27. B&R Number	28. Dollar Amt.		lotment	T	Class	31. AF		00.054	
					30. Object	·			32. CFA	
89X0251.91	EW05K1300	\$0.00	RF9191		254		RF1000SS			
								•		
								•		
33. From Continuation	Sheet			34. Project	Period from		<u>.                                    </u>		thru	
35. Total Funds This	PR:	Are These Annual fund	ls?	36. For As	36. For Assistance Actions Only:					
\$0.00		Yes 🛛 No		Budget P	eriod from			thr	<b>"</b> บ	
			CT MA	NAGER/	NITIATOR					
37. Name STEVE SCOTT - CMD	•	38. Signature	- 1		//	39. Da	te	40. O	ffice Code	
		Steve	$n \mathcal{X}$	810	1	11/3	0199	41. F	TS No. 966-2985	
Total Obligat		_	Action						ative Obligations	
\$ 2,683,087,8	347.57 ——————	\$ 0.00	····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<b>\$</b>	2,683,	,087,847.57	
	TIFYING OFFICIA	AL (BUDGET OFF	CE)I He	reby Certif	y that the fund	ds cited		PR are	available.	
45. Name N/A - ZERO \$ IMPAC	Г	46. Signatu	e				47. Date			
PR Rec'd Date	PR	Entry Date		IPAR F	lec'd Date	·	IF	'AR Ent	try Date	
Processing Notes					·· <del>···</del> ··					
COST CENTER: 082	5 .									

#### SYNOPSIS PAGE

#### **OUTGOING CORRES. CONTROL #99-**

**ORIGINATOR:** 

Steven L. Scott Contracts Management Division Extension 2985

ISSUE: Modification M135 to the Kaiser-Hill Contract DE-AC34-95RFO0825

#### **BACKGROUND:**

This Modification makes the following additions or revisions to the Contract:

1). Section J, Attachment H, Performance Based Incentive Fee Rating Plans. Rating Plans for FY99/00 are added, replaced, or revised (see Enclosure #1) at Attachment H as follows:

Performance Measure and Rating Plan 99/00-04A and 99/00-04b Plutonium Packaging System are revised to reflect an extension in the performance period to September 30, 2000 and a deletion of interim milestones. The change is a result of an equitable adjustment issued due to a required shut down of the PuSPS packaging system for modification. A complete copy of each rating plan is attached, and includes the changes referenced here.

Performance Measure and Rating Plan 99/00-14R Comprehensive Performance is revised to reflect the addition of the FY2000 Comprehensive Milestones to Attachment A of this Performance Measure. A complete copy of each rating plan is attached, and includes the changes referenced here.

3) Section J, Attachment F: The List of DOE Directives is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the listing in Enclosure #3. Enclosure #3 reflects the following DOE Directive additions and deletions. Where a particular Directive is deleted, all numbered Changes to that Directive are also considered deleted, unless specified otherwise.

Directives Added to Contract	Directives Deleted from Contract
DOE O 1360.2B	DOE N 205.1

DOE Directives, including those identified above, are available on the Internet from DOE at: <a href="http://www.explore.doe.gov:1776/htmls/directive.html">http://www.explore.doe.gov:1776/htmls/directive.html</a>

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Concur on memorandum

#### **RECORD NOTES:**

None.

#### **Rocky Flats Field Office**

## memorandum

DATE:

DEC 0 1 1999

REPLY TO

ATTN OF:

CMD:SLS:00-00

SUBJECT:

Modification M135 to Kaiser-Hill Contract Number DE-AC34-95RFO0825

TO:

L. A. Martinez

Vice President for Finance & Administration and CFO

Kaiser-Hill Company, L.L.C.

Attached for your review and signature, please find three (3) originals of the subject Modifications. Please sign, and date and return all three copies of the modification to this office for execution. A fully extended copy of this modification will be

forwarded to you.

Should you have any questions regarding this action, please contact Steven Scott at extension 2985.

Steven L. Scott

Contracting Officer

8 teven L8 coll



December 21, 1999

99-RF-04984

Steven L. Scott Contracting Officer Contracts and Assets Management Division Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site DOE, RFFO

MODIFICATION NUMBER M135 TO CONTRACT DE-AC34-95RF00825 - LAM-551-99

Dear Mr. Scott:

After discussions with the Contracting Officer, Kaiser-Hill is incorporating pen and ink changes to delete FY2000 Comprehensive Performance Measure Milestones pending further clarification.

DOE is requested to acknowledge these pen and ink changes and return one (1) fully executed copy to Kaiser-Hill at your earliest convenience.

If you have any questions or if additional information is necessary, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

L. A. Martinez

Vice President Finance & Administration

and Chief Financial Officer

NBS:scl

Orig and 1 cc – S. L. Scott



December 21, 1999

99-RF-04984

Steven L. Scott Contracting Officer Contracts and Assets Management Division Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site DOE, RFFO

MODIFICATION NUMBER M135 TO CONTRACT DE-AC34-95RFO0825 - LAM-551-99

Dear Mr. Scott:

After discussions with the Contracting Officer, Kaiser-Hill is incorporating pen and ink changes to delete FY2000 Comprehensive Performance Measure Milestones pending further clarification.

DOE is requested to acknowledge these pen and ink changes and return one (1) fully executed copy to Kaiser-Hill at your earliest convenience.

If you have any questions or if additional information is necessary, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

L. A. Martinez

Vice President Finance & Administration

and Chief Financial Officer

NBS:scl

Orig and 1 cc - S. L. Scott

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATI	ON/MODIFICATION OF C	ONTRACT	150	CONTRACT ID C	ODE	PAGE	OF PAG
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/P	PURCHAS	E REQ. NO.	5. PROJEC	T NO. (If app	l olicable)
M135	SEE BLOCK 16C	34-99-1	RFO082	25.004		N/A	
6. ISSUED BY	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED	BY (If oth	er than Item 6)	CODE		
II C Denominant of Francis	<u> </u>	DOE/DEEO				····	••••
U.S. Department of Energy		DOE/RFFO		. Б.			
Rocky Flats Field Office	0.00400.0000	Contracts Mar			00=		
10808 Highway 93, Unit A Golden, Co	U 80403-8200	Attn: Steven l	L. Scott	303-966-2	985		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR	R (No., street, county, State and ZIP Co.	de)		9A. AMENDME	NT OF SOLIC	CITATION NO	D.
KAISER-HILL COMPANY, LLC							
Rocky Flats Environmental Techn				9B. DATED (SE	E ITEM 11)		
10808 Highway 93, Unit B	ology Site		1 1	,-	,		
Golden, CO 80403-8200							
Golden, CO 80403-8200			1 1	10A. MODIFICA	TION OF CO	NTRACT/O	RDER NO
			$  \vee  $	DE-	AC34-95	RFO0825	
CODE	FACILITY CODE		-	10B. DATED (S	EE ITEM 12)		
				100.071,20 (0	-		
					April 4,	1773	
11. THIS ITEM	ONLY APPLIES TO AMEND	MENTS OF SOLIC	CITATIO	ONS			
The above numbered solicitation is ame					is extended.		ot extended
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amen-		ed in the solicitation or	as amend	ded, by one of th	e following m	ethods:	
(a) By completing items 8 and 15 and returning	g copies of the amendmen	ent: (b) By acknowledgi	ing receipt	t of this amendm	ent on each	copy of the of	ffer
submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegran RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FO	OR THE RECEIPT OF OFFEDS DOING		it numbers	S. FAILURE OF	YOUR ACKN	OWLEDGME	NT TO B
				telegram or lette	esult in Hi	ach telegram	or letter
makes reference to the solicitation and this all	nendineni, and is received prior to the o	pening hour and date s	pecified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DA	ATA (If required)						
13. THIS IT MO	ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MO ODIFIES THE CONTRACT/OR	DIFICATIONS OF DER NO. AS DES	CONT	RACTS/ORD	DERS, 4.	•	
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUA						ITEM 10A	
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		- 11.7 (5) (2) (1)	THE CONTINOT	SINDLIN NO. III	TILIVI TOA.	
P. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER	DIC HODISIED TO DESI SOT THE ABANANA						
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDE ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF	OF FAR 43, 103(b).	IHATIVE CHANGES (such	h as change	es in paying office,	appropriation of	late, etc., SET	FORTH IN
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENT	ERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF						
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and auth	ority)						
Administrative Modification De	••	A (DI 05 01	1.				
Administrative Modification De		Ion Act (PL95-9)	1)				
. IMPORTANT: Contractor is no		ocument and return_	c	opies to the is	suing office.		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION This modification hereby makes the		ding sollcitation/contract s	subject mate	er where leasible.)			
modification notody makes th	c tonowing rottstons.						
1. Performance Rating Plans.							
<b></b>							
		*					
					A. 60		
					r**		
Except as provided herein, all terms and condi-	tions of the document referenced in Iten	9A or 10A, as heretof	ore chang	ed, remains und	hanged and i	in full force a	nd effect.
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		A. NAME AND TITLE OF					
	1	ESSIE M. ROBE					
		IANAGER	-10011				
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR			4 <sub>4</sub> ED.A.			1400 5:-	0.00:
-100 - 100	150. DATE SIGNED 16	P. UNITED STATES OF	MENTA			16C. DATE	SIGNED
(Signature of person authopyed to sign)	12/22/99 8	HIMU 10	<i>July</i>		·	וובללו	1199
(orginating of person administration to sign)		(Signat	ure of Cont	racting Officer)		111/04	$LV_{\perp}$
SN 7540-01-152-8070		(W			STANDAF	D FORM 30	(Rev. 10-83
REVIOUS EDITION NUSABLE	30-105				Prescribed		,

STANDARD FORM 30 (Rev. 10-83) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53243

1). Section J, Attachment H, Performance Based Incentive Fee Rating Plans. Rating Plans for FY99/00 are added, replaced, or revised (see Enclosure #1) at Attachment H as follows:

Performance Measure and Rating Plan 99/00-04A and 99/00-04b Plutonium Packaging System are revised to reflect an extension in the performance period to September 30, 2000 and a deletion of interim milestones. The change is a response to a request for an equitable adjustment and adjusts the schedule and performance requirements due to Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) modifications made by the Contractor and identified in Baseline Change Requests #1999-1608, Mitigation of Inner Can Contamination Issue and Resolve Design Deficiencies and #2000-1019, PuSPS Outer Can Weld ASME Qualification. A complete copy of each rating plan is attached, and includes the changes referenced here.

Performance Measure and Rating Plan 99/00-14R Comprehensive Performance is revised to reflect the addition of the FY2000 Comprehensive Milestones to Attachment A of this Performance Measure. A complete copy of each rating plan is attached, and includes the changes referenced here.

3) Section J, Attachment F: The List of DOE Directives is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the listing in Enclosure #3. Enclosure #3 reflects the following DOE Directive additions and deletions. Where a particular Directive is deleted, all numbered Changes to that Directive are also considered deleted, unless specified otherwise.

Directives Added to Contract	Directives Deleted from Contract
DOE N 205.1	DOE O 1360.2B
	·

DOE Directives, including those identified above, are available on the Internet from DOE at: http://www.explore.doe.gov:1776/htmls/directive.html

End of Modification Listing

Bm 12/2499

## **ENCLOSURE #1**

# SECTION J, ATTACHMENT H, FY99/00 RATING PLANS

(Contains a 2-page summary and 9 changed rating plans: a total of 36 pages)

## LIST OF APPROVED RATING PLANS FOR FY 1999 AND FY 2000\* - Fifth Update to Listing – Page 1

415	Latest Dated			Attach-
Rating	RFFO		Maximum	ments
Plan	Signature/	SHORT TITLE OF	Available	to Dating
No. 99/00-	Initials	PERFORMANCE MEASURE	Fee	Rating Plan

#### REGULAR PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PERFORMANCE PERIOD IS 10/1/98 - 9/30/99)

01.1R	4/13/99	B371 Closure	\$1,850,000	None
02R	4/13/99	SNM Residues	\$4,000,000	None
04R	4/13/99	Plutonium Packaging System	\$500,000	None
06R	2/4/99	B771 Deactivation	\$1,737,750	None
07.1R	12/3/98	779 Cluster Closure	\$1,750,000	None
07.3R	2/3/99	NE Quadrant D & D	\$250,000	None
07.5R	2/3/99	B776/777 Deactivation	\$1,000,000	None
08R	9/29/98	Groundwater Plume Remediation	\$700,000	None
10R	4/13/99	SNM Shipments	\$1,232,165	None
12.1R	9/29/98	Waste Shipment	\$1,430,000	None
14R	4/13/99	Comprehensive Performance	NA	3 pgs
14.1R		Comprehensive Performance Add1 NA		2 pgs
14.2R		Comprehensive Performance Add2	NA	2 pgs

SUPERSTRETCH "A" PERFORMANCE MEASURES (Any FY99 Period of Performance will be established by the Site Change Control Board. SSA PM's are automatically converted to regular FY00 PM's on 10/1/99.)

1 100 1111 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
SS01A	2/4/99	B371 Closure (SSA)	\$600,000	None
SS01.1A		B371 Closure	\$250,000	None
SS02A	4/13/99	SNM Residues (SSA)	\$4,000,000	None
SS04A	4/13/99	Pu Packaging System (SSA)	\$5,500,000	None
SS06A	2/4/99	B771 Deactivation (SSA)	\$3,250,000	None
SS07.1A	2/4/99	779 Cluster Closure (SSA)	\$3,250,000	None
SS07.2A	2/4/99	886 Cluster Closure (SSA)	\$1,500,000	None
SS07.6A		B707 Closure Acceleration	\$300,000	None
SS10A	2/4/99	SNM Shipments (SSA)	\$3,122,460	None
SS12.1A	2/4/99	Waste Shipment (SSA)	\$1,820,000	None
SS13A		Reduce Radiation Exposure	\$1,000,000	None
SS15A	3/23/99	Year 2000 Readiness (SS)	\$1,545,000	6 pgs
	•	Fee unallocated. Regular and SSA PMs. PM to be determined	\$162,624	None

SUPERSTRETCH "B" PERFORMANCE MEASURES, CATEGORY 1 (Any FY99/00 Period of Performance will be established by the Site Change Control Board.)

SS01b	3/3/99	B371 Closure (SSb)	\$1,000,000	None
SS02b	3/1/99	SNM Residues (SSb)	\$4,556,500	None
SS04.1b		Plutonium Packaging System (SSb)	\$1,000,000	None
SS06b	3/1/99	B771 Deactivation (SSb)	\$7,350,000	None
SS07.1b	3/1/99	779 Cluster Closure (SSb)	\$3,200,000	None
SS07.5b	3/1/99	B776/777 Decommissioning (SSb)	\$1,750,000	None

Modification M135

SS07.7b	3/1/99	Type 1 & Type II Building Closure (SSb)	\$1,400,000	None
SS09b	3/1/99	Property Disposal (SSb)	\$250,000	None
SS10b	3/1/99	SNM Shipments (SSb)	\$811,920	None
SS10.1b		SNM Shipments (SSb)	\$600,000	None

(Continued on next page)

### LIST OF APPROVED RATING PLANS FOR FY 1999 AND FY 2000\*

Fourth Update to Listing - Page 2

SUPERSTRETCH "B" PERFORMANCE MEASURES, CATEGORY 2 (Any FY99/00 Period of Performance will be established by the Site Change Control Board.)

SS04c	4/13/99	Plutonium Packaging System (SSc)	\$1,660,000	None
SS06c	3/4/99	B771 Deactivation (SSc)	\$2,475,000	None
SS07.2c	3/1/99	886 Cluster Closure (SSc)	\$1,105,000	None
SS07.5c	3/1/99	B776/777 Decommissioning (SSc)	\$1,650,000	None
SS07.7c	3/1/99	Type I & Type II Building Closure (SSc)	\$1,400,000	None
SS09c	3/1/99	Property Disposal (SSc)	\$250,000	None
SS10c	3/1/99	SNM Shipments (SSc)	\$1,479,580	None

<sup>\*</sup> Note: This summary listing is provided for general information and assistance only. Although an effort has been made to accurately reflect Rating Plan information, please refer to the actual Rating Plans if there are any questions of accuracy.

Page 1 of 6

99/00-14R Measure No.

Initial Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (BCWS) under this PM:  NA		Maximum Available Incentive Fee Associated with this Measure: NA		
PBS No.	WBS Element No(s).	Fee Billings should reflect B&R		
NA	NA	Code No. NA		

Closure Project Impact Rating (4=High, 2=Medium, 1=Low)

Critical Path: 1 Cost:: Technical Difficulty:

This Performance Measure supports site closure in 2010.

Rocky Flats Closure Project Management Plan commitments are supported by this Performance Measure.

### SECTION-III PERFORMANCE MEASURE

### Comprehensive Performance

- 1. Maintain accurate and reliable reporting of costs.
- Complete Project Baseline Description milestones
- Maintain the FY99 baseline safety performance level
- Assure compliance with contractual requirements
- Year 2000 Readiness

### SECTION IV PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

PREVIOUS YEAR'S GATEWAY Describe previous year's gateway (if applicable) that must be completed before fee can be paid under this performance measure. The requirements listed below are the only gateway requirements for this PM.

#### None

DEFINE COMPLETION Specify Performance Elements and describe indicators of success (quality/progress). Include baseline documents/data against which completion documentation should be compared.

- 1. Maintain accurate and reliable reporting of costs. Fee will be forfeited if either A or B below occur as stated therein
  - A. Failure to maintain costing accuracy for non-labor costs, based on six months dollar volume, utilizing judgmental sampling techniques as identified in the definition section below as follows:
  - Costing accuracy of 90%, measured at the end of the first six months of FY99. (Results of FY99 Q1 and Q2 combined measured at March 1999).
  - Costing accuracy of 95%, measured every six months, beginning at the third quarter of FY99 and extending for the remaining term of the contract. (Results of FY99 Q3 and Q4 combined measured at September 1999, results of FY00 Q1 and Q2 combined measured at March 2000, and results of FY00 Q3 measured at June 2000).
  - B. Failure to control labor mis-charging based on the number of labor transactions each quarter, utilizing statistical sampling techniques identified in the definition section below, as follows:
  - Incurring greater than 5% labor mis-charging measured every six months throughout FY99 and FY00. (Results of FY99 Q1 and Q2 combined measured at March 1999, results of FY99 Q3 and Q4 combined measured at September 1999, results of FY00 Q1 and Q2 combined measured at March 2000, and results of FY00 Q3 measured at June 2000).
- 2. Complete Project Baseline Description Milestones.
  - RFFO assessors will assess the completion of each designated milestone. A milestone may be considered as not having been met if RFFO determines that 1) the quality of work is of significantly diminished value to the Government, or 2) significant time (e.g. more than 8 hours of DOE effort) is required to complete, revise, or cause the revision of the product. It shall be the Contractor's responsibility to provide adequate justification to the assessor to validate the milestone completion. All milestones scheduled for completion are due at the end of the quarter in which they are scheduled unless specifically stipulated otherwise. Designated milestones for FY99 are listed in Attachment A, FY2000 milestones will be added at a later date.
- 3. Maintain the FY99 baseline safety performance level
  - An index score will be calculated each month and averaged at the end of each quarter to determine the amount of potential fee reduction.

Page 2 of 6

- The baseline for each indicator is identified as the mean of the monthly values of each indicator (except Fire Impairments) for the period July 1995 through July 1998 (Jan 97 through July 98 for the Radiological Safety Index and Jan 96 through July 98 for OSR/TSR Violations). The baseline for the Fire Impairments indicator is a negotiated value.
- The indicators are defined below and include: Total Recordable Case Rate, Lost Workday Case Rate, Lost Workday Rate, Radiological Safety Index, OSR/TSR Violations, Site ConOps Index, Emergency Preparedness, Site ConOps Index, and Open Fire Impairments >30 Days Old.
- Index scores are calculated as follows:-

#### A) Enter baseline values and baseline performance level for each indicator

The mean (average) of the monthly values for July '95 through July '98 (Jan 97 through July 98 for the Radiological Safety Index and Jan 96 through July 98 for OSR/TSR Violations) is entered as baseline values for all indicators except the Fire Impairment indicator. The baseline for the Fire Impairment indicator is a negotiated value.

#### B) Enter Performance Level Intervals for each Indicator

The interval between Performance Level 0 and Performance Level 1 is defined as two standard deviations evaluated for the monthly values for July '95 through July '98 (Jan 97 through July 98 for the Radiological Safety Index and Jan 96 through July 98 for OSR/TSR Violations) for each indicator except the Fire Impairment indicator. The interval between Performance Level 1 and Performance Level 2 is one standard deviation evaluated for the same data set. Negotiated gradients are used as the intervals between the Fire Impairment indicator Performance Levels.

The standard deviation is calculated as follows:

$$\sqrt{\frac{n\sum x^2 - \left(\sum x\right)^2}{n(n-1)}}$$

#### C) Enter current month's monthly values for each indicator

The data for the measurement month for each indicator is entered.

#### D) Determine current month's Performance Level for each indicator

The indicator's Performance Level is listed at the top of the column whose interval value is closest to the indicator's monthly value. Midpoints have been included in the index to facilitate selection of the appropriate Performance Level. Monthly values equal to the midpoints will be evaluated as the lower Performance Level.

#### E) Determine current month's Performance Indicator (PI) scores for each indicator

The Performance Level for each indicator is multiplied by the specified weighting factor to determine the individual PI score.

#### F) Determine the SPI score for the current month

The individual PI scores are summed to arrive at the monthly SPI score

Any required reduction of fee will be accomplished by offset of earned fee when the earned fee is claimed and approved by RFFO.

#### 4. Assure compliance with specified contractual requirements

- Deviations will be evaluated by RFFO Manager and notice of non-compliance issued if appropriate.
- For the purpose of this Performance Measure, the Notice of Non-Compliance applies to these areas:
   Safety, environmental compliance, safeguards & security, and transportation.
- Specific examples of Notices of Non-Compliance will be included by October 31, 1998

#### 5. Year 2000 Readiness

- a. Year 2000 Renovation activities completed by January 31, 1999, for each Mission Critical System listed in the Master Projects List (attached).
- b. Year 2000 Validation and Verification activities completed for each Mission Critical System listed in the Master Projects List (attached), by February 15, 1999.
- c. Year 2000 Implementation activities completed for each Mission Critical System listed below by March 31, 1999. Year 2000 Implementation activities completed and documented to RFFO for RFETS Critical and RFETS non-critical assets/systems as shown in the Master Projects List (attached) by September 30,

Page 3 of 6

99/00-14R Measure No.

1999.

d. Completion and submission of "Y2K Terminated System Report" with supporting documentation for Mission Critical, RFETS Critical, and RFETS Non-Critical systems terminated or scheduled to be terminated as listed in the Master Projects List (attached).

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

Comprehensive Performance Measure (CPM) Milestones: (as referenced in this PM) include all 99/00 contracted and funded milestones which are designated as CPM milestones. Changes in scope and/or schedule to CPM milestones form the baseline must be changed by the Site Change Control Board (SCCB) through RFFO approved Baseline Change Proposals.

<u>Labor mis-charge:</u> (as referenced in this PM) This means a time card containing a valid/open charge number which is different from the charge number identified in the accounting system for the work which the employee is performing at the time of the floor check.

Costing accuracy percentage for non-labor costs: Derived by selecting a random number of transactions from Kaiser Hill accounting systems (representative of the transaction volume attributable to each company utilizing the systems and representative of the dollar value stratification of transactions within the population) and researching supporting documents to validate that correct charge numbers were assigned to the costs for each transaction. The charge number will be validated only to the six-digit level, also known as WBS element or Activity level. The costing accuracy is determined by dividing the total dollar value of correctly charged transactions by the total dollar value of all transactions sampled.

Labor mis-charging percentage: Derived by selecting a random number of employees from the Kaiser-Hill Team (representative of the number of employees employed by and assigned to each company utilizing Kaiser-Hill's payroll system) and performing labor floor check reviews. Each employee will be questioned and/or their time card checked to validate that the identified charge number matches the activity performed by that employee at the time of the labor floor check. The charge number will be validated only to the six-digit level, also known as WBS element or activity level. The mis-charging percentage is determined by dividing the total number of all employees incorrectly charging labor time by the total number of all employees sampled.

<u>Sampling Techniques for labor transactions</u>: The process for selection and review of transactions will include:

- Kaiser-Hill and DOE RFFO will mutually establish by October 30, 1998 the plan for sampling and measurement processes.
- Kaiser-Hill staff members from the Internal Audit and/or Finance departments will perform the sampling and review process each quarter.
- Kaiser-Hill will maintain documentation of the review procedures performed, and the results of each procedure.
- DOE RFFO may review the supporting documentation compiled during the reviews.
- The review team will identify the total population of employees currently assigned to the Kaiser-Hill Team companies.
- The review team will randomly select a number of employees from the pool that are determined to be representative of the populations of each of the Kaiser-Hill Team Companies.
- The review team will generally utilize a standard list of predetermined questions (included in the Sampling Plan) to determine if the charge number identified by the employee is one that correctly identifies the work being performed.
- The detailed analysis will be tallied by company, indicating the number of employees that are correctly charging time and those that were mis-charging time.
- The team members will acknowledge the completed work product by signing the supporting documentation.

<u>Sampling techniques for non-labor costs</u>: The process for selection and review of transactions will include:

- Kaiser-Hill and DOE RFFO will mutually establish by October 30, 1998 the plan for sampling and measurement processes.
- Kaiser-Hill staff members from the Internal Audit and/or finance departments will perform the sampling and review process each quarter.

99/00-14R Measure No.

Page 4 of 6

 Kaiser-Hill will maintain documentation of the review procedures performed, and the results of each procedure.

- DOE RFFO may participate in the sampling and review process, and may review the supporting documentation compiled during the review.
- The review team will identify the total population of non-labor transactions for the period to be measured.
- The review team will randomly select a number of transactions from the pool that are determined to be representative of transactions attributable to each company.
- The review team will generally utilize a pre-determined process to analyze supporting documentation for each transaction and determine if the assigned charge number is correct.
- The detailed analysis will be tallied by company, identifying the number of transactions that have been correctly charged and those that were mis-charged.
- The team members will acknowledge the completed work product by signing the supporting documentation.

Year 2000 (Y2K) Ready (IT systems): means and requires that software, hardware, and firmware shall process date/time data (which may include but is not limited to displaying, recording, sorting, storing, calculating, comparing, sequencing and representing dates), including leap year dates, before, on and after January 1, 2000, with (a) no failure, error interruption, reduction, or (b) compensatory measures are in place for those systems identified below, allowing continued operations(s) of the function in a safe and operationally compliant manner until errors, omissions or oversights in the system(s), if deemed necessary, are corrected.

#### Systems for which Compensatory Measures are planned:

DACS 444; DACS 881; KH HR DB; RMRS 4 (Sewer Plant System); HR&C Software; RMRS 14 (Water Management & Treatment System); RMRS 5 (Automated Surface Water Monitoring Network).

Year 2000 Ready (Embedded systems): means and requires that (a) the system or asset will function properly, regardless of the calendar or Julian date which the system or asset may display or process in any manner; or (b) compensatory measures are in place for those systems identified above, allowing continued operations(s) of the function in a safe and operationally compliant manner until errors, omissions or oversights in the system(s), if deemed necessary, are corrected.

<u>System:</u> an asset or grouping of assets to facilitate identification, management and tracking of Y2K activities associated with that asset or grouping of assets. In this context, "systems" are those identified on the MPL (attached).

<u>Compensatory Measures</u>: are planned for several designated systems (listed in the Master Projects List, attached) which do not warrant being renovated to be Y2K compliant due to the short remaining lifetime envisioned for use of these systems. The Compensatory Measures will allow these few systems to be used in a Y2K non-compliant manner for the short period they are still needed. The Compensatory Measures will be described in facility procedures as to how these systems will be run so as not to interfere with other systems which are Y2K compliant.

<u>Documentation Criteria:</u> is as specified in the RFFO approved Project Execution Plan and Test and Evaluation Master Plan. Documentation created prior to the latest revision of the plans are "grandfathered" except that substantive material omissions or deficiencies, as identified in the IV&V or QA reviews, will be corrected using the most cost efficient approach identifiable.

<u>Mission Critical</u>: Any system should be considered mission-essential if that system's failure comes to the attention of the Secretary or Departmental senior programmatic official because a mission of the Department is not being accomplished.

RFETS Critical: Any system should be considered RFETS Mission Critical if that system's failure adversely impacts the Site Closure Mission, health, safety, security or environmental processes. Not limited to RFETS only, but includes the Public, Workers, etc.

RFETS Non-Critical: All other operational systems at RFETS

Page 5 of 6

99/00-14R Measure No.

COMPLETION DOCUMENTS LIST: (In addition to the Completion Report, the document(s) that should be submitted/ data that should be available/ actions to be taken by evaluator, to determine actual performance to the requirements stated above.)

- 1. A report of findings as required in the sampling plan.
- 2. Milestone completion documentation
- 3. Safety and Health OSHA Statistics including all data links and calculations

Radiological violations event codes and status reports

OSR/TSR status reports

Radiological Safety Index including HIS-20 status

Fire Impairment/Deficiency List including the Facility Owners Report

Additional supporting documentation for each indicator will be available from the K-H Safety and Industrial Hygiene Department

5. Site year 2000 Readiness documentation:

Site Year 2000 Readiness Letter: Formal Year 2000 Readiness Letter and documentation of completion of Y2K readiness activities for each Mission Critical, RFETS Critical, and RFETS Non-Critical System listed on the Master Projects List (attached). The documentation of completion shall be the "System Readiness Report." Supporting documents not to be submitted shall include Formal Contingency Plans for each Mission Critical and RFETS Critical System not Terminated or scheduled for termination, to address Data Exchange Partner issues and Critical Supplier issues for each system.

Completion and submission of "Y2K Terminated Systems Report" with supporting documentation for Mission Critical, RFETS Critical, and RFETS Non-Critical systems terminated or scheduled for termination as shown on the Master Projects List (attached).

ASSUMPTIONS/TECHNICAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS: For reasonably foreseeable impacts to performance which are not covered under the Contract. If the assumption or condition proves false the remedy is renegotiation unless stated otherwise.

These PM objectives apply to systems under the direct control and management of Kaiser-Hill. Systems
operated or managed by DOE RFFO, their subcontractors, suppliers or vendors, (other than the KH Team) are
not included in the metrics for this performance measure.

#### SECTION V - EARNINGS SCHEDULE

List percent of PM fee available for completion of each Element, and the schedule by which the fee may be earned. (Schedule identifies point(s) at which fee may be earned – does not define completion.)

- 1. The contractor will lose \$350,000 for each six month increment of the rating period in which cost charging accuracy fails to meet the requirements stated in Metric 1 of this PM (\$350,000 for the first six months and \$350,000 for the second six months of FY99, and \$350,000 for the first six months and \$250,000 for the last three months of FY00 rating period. Any resulting reduction of fee will be offset against earned fee when the earned fee is approved by RFFO. Fee lost due to this clause will not be recoverable.
- 2. The contractor will lose \$250,000 of fee each quarter if the contractor fails to complete 90% of the Project baseline Description milestones due in that quarter. This will begin in the second quarter of FY99 and run through the third quarter of FY2000 (six (6) quarters).
- 3. Maintain the FY99 baseline safety performance level
- An index score will be calculated for each of the 3 months in the quarter and the three index scores will be averaged. If the quarterly average index score is ≤50, there is no impact. If the quarterly average index score is 51 100 the contractor will lose \$100,000, if the quarterly average index score is 101-150 the contractor will lose \$250,000, and if the quarterly average index score >150 the contractor will lose \$400,000.
- 4. Assure compliance with specified contractual requirements
  - Up to \$100,000 may be forfeited for each final notice of noncompliance issued related to this Performance Measure. A final notice of noncompliance is a significant deviation from a contractual requirement, or a number of related deviations amounting to significant deviation in contractual requirements as determined by the RFFO Manager. Such deviations are limited to areas of Safety, Environmental Compliance, Safeguards and Security and Transportation. Prior to a final notice of noncompliance the RFFO Manager, shall issue a preliminary notice of noncompliance which shall specify the DOE's concerns and provide Kaiser-Hill up to 30 days (depending on the circumstances) to cure the noncompliance [s]. DOE Shall issue a final notice of noncompliance only if the deviations noted in the preliminary notice of noncompliance remain unresolved after the cure period. DOE RFFO may elect to invoke fee forfeiture under either the Comprehensive Performance Measure, Notice of Non-Compliance, or Contract Clause B.6 (e), but not both for the same event or set of circumstances.

Page 6 of 6

99/00-14R Measure No.

Year 2000 Readiness

Up to \$500,000 fee may be forfeited if Year 2000 Renovation activities are not completed by January 31, 1999, for any Mission Critical System listed in the Master Projects List (attached). Up to \$500,000 fee may be forfeited if Year 2000 Validation activities are not completed by February 15, 1999, for any Mission Critical System listed in the Master Projects List (attached). Up to \$500,000 fee may be forfeited if Year 2000 Implementation activities are not completed by March 31, 1999, for any Mission Critical System listed in Section IV Performance Requirements, element #5 of this Rating Plan, or Year 2000 Readiness activities completed and documented to RFFO for RFETS Critical and RFETS Non-Critical Systems as shown in the Master Projects List (attached), by September 30, 1999.

Up to \$550,000 fee earned under SuperStretch Performance Measure 99/00-SS15A may be forfeited if critical closure activities involving nuclear material or waste processing, packaging, transportation or facility D&D:

- are interrupted for more than 72 consecutive hours as a direct result of unplanned Safety or Security systems failure to properly handle Year 2000 dates; or
- if, after implementing Contingency Plans, Kaiser-Hill is unable to resume these critical closure activities within 72 consecutive hours.

This penalty may be invoked for incidents described above occurring between September 8, 1999, and March 10, 2000, which includes the leap year transition period.

SECTION VI-SIGNATURES

Responsible K-H Mgr:	Kaiser-Hill President	Signature of Kaiser-Hill President	Date Signed
J. C. Fulton	Robert Card	hull.	2/3/29
Initial Responsible RFFO		Mul)	
Mgr:	RFFO Manager	Signature of RFFO Manager	Date Signed
Initial	Jessie Roberson	Howe Kollers on	1/14/99
REFOR 5700 5 Att	Inchment A		

Form Revision Date: 7/7/98 by RFFO PPI Rating Plan Revised 1/14/99 10:52 AM SME Hartman

Page 7 of 7

99/00-14R Measure No.

### **BASELINE CALCULATION**

INDICATOR	Baseline Level 0	Mid Point	Level 1	Mid Point	Level 2	Monthly Value	Perf Level	Wt Factor	Indicator Score
	Jul 95 – Jul		2σ		3σ				Score
	98 Mean	<u> </u>					<u> </u>		·
Total Recordable Case Rate	4.37	5.86	7.35	8.10	8.84			15	
Lost Workday Case Rate	2.37	3.57	4.78	5.38	5.99			15	
Lost Workday Rate	65.4	84.6	103.8	113.4	123	<del></del>		15	
Radiological Safety Index	26.71*	36.94	47.17	52.28	57.40		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	
Site ConOps Index	3.72	6.20	8.68	9.92	11.16			15	
OSR/TSR Violations	4.29**	7.48	10.67	12.26	13.86			15_	
Fire Impairments > 30 Days	10	15	20	25	30			10	
Emergency Preparedness			TBD FY00					0	
D TO				1 1	······································		<u> </u>		
INDEX SCORE	0	50	100	150	200				

<sup>Mean is evaluated for Jan 97 through July 98
Mean is evaluated for Jan 96 through July 98</sup> 

## ATTACHMENT A FY99 Comprehensive Performance Measures

	PBD 01			Responsible	
	WBS Element	Milestone Description		Organization	SME
	1.1.03.06.04.04	Conduct Aerial Application, Weed Control	9/30/99		K Brakken
	1.1.03.06.01.01	Submit 2nd quarter Discharge Monitoring Report	3/23/99		K Brakken
	1.1.03.06.01.01	Submit 3rd quarter Discharge Monitoring Report	6/23/99		K Brakken
-5**	1.1.03.06.01.01	Submit 4th quarter Discharge Monitoring Report	9/23/99		K Brakken
					IN BIAKKEII
	PBD 02		<del></del>		<del> </del>
	WBS Element	Milestone Description	Due Date	<del></del>	<del> </del>
	1.1.04.03.02.01	TRU/TRM Tent Storage	4/30/99	FC.	D. Maxwell
	1.1.04.03.02.02	Building 440 Storage Upgrades	5/6/99		D. Maxwell
	1.1.04.04.04.03	Complete the B771 and B883 Facility Groups in accordance with the Waste Chemical Consent Order	6/30/99		S. MacLeoc
	1.1.04.03.02.02	aw TRU Waste Storage Facility PSAR			S. Olinger
	1.1.04.02.01.01	Repackage 350m³ of Backlog/Legacy Waste	9/30/99		
	1.1.04.04.03.01	Achieve affirmative procurement goals	9/30/99		L L O'Mary
	1.1.04.04.04.03	Complete remaining seven facility groups in accordance with the Waste Chemical Consent Order	9/30/99		S. MacLeod
	1.1.04.04.04.03	Properly manage and disposition 250 gas cylinders	9/30/99		S. MacLeod
	1.1.04.04.04.03	Package and properly disposition 5,000 lifecycle waste chemicals	9/30/99		S. MacLeod
	1.1.05.08.01	Building 440 Repackaging Facility FSAR Implemented within 120 days of DOE approval			S. MacLeoc
-1.1	1.1.04.02.01.01	Placement of Wooden crates into covered storage			S. Olinger
			8/30/99		L. O'Mary
	PBD 04				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
	1.1.04.07.01.02/03	Complete nitrogen system failure prevention modification in Building 371 (B371 Lingrades Phase III)	Due Date	100	
	1.1.04.07.01.02/03	Complete redundant Zone 3 HVAC Controllers projects in Building 371 (B371 Upgrades Phase II)	2/24/99		K. Keenan
	1.1.04.07.01.02/03	Complete all fire barner upgrades in Building 371 (B371 Upgrades Phase II)	2/24/99		K. Keenan
4**	1.1.04.07.01.02/03	Complete design of validated Interim Storage Upgrades in Building 371 (B371 Upgrades Phase III)	2/24/99		K. Keenan
		g - Tee - Opgicaco - nose my	9/30/99	MSD	K. Keenan
	PBD 06				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description	D		
	1.1.04.07.02.02	Remove category I and II Special Nuclear Materials (SNM) and deactivate vaults in Building 776/777.	Due Date	100	
-2	1.1.04.07.02.02	Transfer plutonium metals and oxides from building 707 to Building 371	9/30/99		D. Hicks
			9/30/99	NSD	D. Hicks
	PBD 08				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
	1.1.04.08.01.05	Complete Post Acceptance Testing PuSPS			
-2*	1.1.04.08.01.05	DP3 PuSPS Packaging System Design Complete	1/25/99 N		K. Keenan
-3°	1.1.04.08.01.05	DP4 - PuSPS Stabilization System Design Complete	3/1/99 N		K. Keenan
$\neg$		7	5/18/99	ISD	K. Keenan
	PBD 09				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
	1.1.04.09.04.02	Start Flouride Repackaging Operations			
	1.1.04.09.04.05	WIPP Initial Residue Certification	9/30/99 N		G. Nishimoto
	1.1.04.09.04.05	WIRP Initial Residue Certification - Other Residues	6/30/99 N		G. Nishimoto
		The residues of the residues	7/31/99 M		G. Nishimoto
		t 9			

## ATTACHMENT A FY99 Comprehensive Performance Measures

	PBD 15				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description		<u> </u>	
15-1	1.1.06.19.04.03	Building 886 Decommissioning	Due Date		
			9/30/99	MSD	D. Nickless
	PBD 16			·	
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
6-1	1.1.06.07.01.01	Change First Stage HEPA Filters Building 371	Due Date		
			. 4/1/99	AME	M. Haas
	PBD 17				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
7-1	1.1.06.01.05	B707 BIO Implementation	Due Date		
7-2	1.1.06.02.01.01	Change First Stage HEPA Filters Building 707	8/2/99		G. Nishimoto
		S. S	9/1/99	AME	M. Haas
	PBD 18				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
8-1	1.1.06.10.02.08	Downgrade security access requirements from Q to L in R771 MAA	Due Date		
8-2	1.1.06.10.01.05	Implement B771 portion of the B771/774 BFO Rev 2	1/1/99		J. Springer
8-3	1,1.06,10.01.05	Implement 8774 8FO Roy 3 (Replaced description shoot) With 8122 90 (201) 0 100	1/31/99	MSD	J. Springer
8-4	1.1.06.10.02.08	Disposition FO-2D little Figure in B//1 (Replaced description sheet)	11/24/99		J. Springer
8-5	1.1.06.10.02.08	Remove glovebox exhaust and processing filters from B771 (replaced description should	6/30/99		J. Springer
8-6	1.1.06.10.01.05	Implement 8771/774 BFO Rev. 3 (replaced description sheet) AM 8 5799 2000 9 9 9 9	6/30/99		J. Springer
		Implement 8771/774 BFO Rev. 3 (replaced description sheet) 3 M 8 7 199 (2004) 9 9 9 9 9 9	11/24/99	MSD	J. Springer
	PBD 19	. , , , ,			
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
9-1	1.1.06.12.01.05	Implement Building 776/777 Basis for Interim Operation	Due Date		
9-2	1.1.06.12.03	Disposition of Actuators in B777	7/9/99		D. Newell
			9/30/99	MSD	D. Newell
	PBD 21				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
1-1	1.1.06.02.03.01	Implement the B991 FSAR within 120 days after DOE, RFFO approval	Due Date		
1-2	1.1.06.02.03.01	Complete ARCIE Relocation Project	· .	EC	K. Muenchow
		· ·	7/31/99	EC	K. Muenchow
	PBD 23				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
3-1	1.1.07.02.11	Implement a Preventive Maintenance Program for Security Critical System Elements	Due Date		
<del>-2</del>	1.1.07.02.11	Complete Annual Critical System Element Effectiveness Tests	1/1/99	S&S	A. Tardiff
33	1.1.07.02.06	Terminate or extend B060 Lease, execute follow-on lease, and establish the In/Out Processing Center	1/31/99	S&S	A. Tardiff
	1.1.07.02.06/.07	K-H Real Property Self Assessment	4/30/99	GO	S. Schiesswohl
	1.1.07.02.06.01	Complete transfer of SREP property	6/30/99	GO	S. Schiesswohl
	1.1.07.02.06.01	Precious Metals Disposition	8/31/99	GO	J. Hale
	1.1.07.02.06.09	Complete Sensitive Annual Inventory Report	8/30/99	GO	J. Brothers
	1.1.07.01	Energy Management - consistent with Site Closure Plan	8/30/99	30	J. Brothers
	1.1.07.02.06.09	Complete Pilot Program 1st Quarterly Report	2/28/99	30	J. Stansberry
	1.1.07.02.07	Site SAR Implemented	1/31/99	3O	D. Elliott
	1.1.07.02.06.01	Complete Pilot Program 2nd Quarterly Report	6/30/99	ME	M. Regan
	1.1.07.02.06.01	Complete Prior Program 2nd Quarterly Report  Complete Prior Program 3rd Quarterly Report	4/30/99		D. Elliott
	1.1.07.01	Energy Management - consistent with Site Closure Plan	7/31/99		D. Elliott
	1.1.07.01	Energy Wasagement - Consistent with Site Closure Plan	9/30/99		J. Stansberry
	1.1.07.01	Energy Management - Conservation Opportunities	6/30/99		J. Stansberry
	1.1.07.01	Energy Management - Electric Meters	4/30/99		J. Stansberry
<del>-11</del>	1.1.07.01	Energy Management - no cost energy management actions	8/31/99		J. Stansberry
					ij. Sianspenv

Page	KH Pres	Date
Change	DOE Mgr	Date

## ATTACHMENT A FY99 Comprehensive Performance Measures

	PBD 24		<del></del>	<del>,</del>	<del>- , </del>
	WBS Element	Milestone Description	<del> </del>		
4-1	1.1.07.02.01.02.01	ROCKMAS Encryption	Due Date		
4-2	1.1.07.02.01.03.01	Accountability Measurement Requirement	9/30/99		Gladu/Grace
4-3	1.1.07.02.01.01.03	Submit validatable 1999 SSSP annual update	4/15/99		S. Cross
4-4	1.1.07.02.01.03.01	Complete Annual Force-on-Force Exercise	8/5/99		Garrett
4-5	1.1.07.02.01.03.01	Accountability Measurement Compliance	9/30/99		R. Bartlett
	1.1.07.02.01.03.01	Accountability measurement Compilance	9/30/99	S&S	S. Cross
	PBD 25				
	WBS Element	Milestone Description			
5-1	1.1.07.02.14.02.23		Due Date		
5-2	1.1.07.02.16.02.04	BCC Replacement Fully Operational	2/15/99	S&S	A, Tardiff
	1.1.07.02.16.02.04	Central Alarm Station (CAS) Operational Switch to B765	2/15/99	S&S	A. Tardiff
5-4		Installed LS/DW System in B371 Full Operational	9/30/99	S&S	A. Tardiff
<del>5-4</del>	1.1.07.02.16.02.04	Installed Self-Test Sensors in Vaults Fully Operational	9/30/99		A. Tardiff
	DDD 00			T	
	PBD 30			† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-	WBS Element	Milestone Description	Due Date	<del> </del>	
	1.1.08.04.07.04	Radioactive Source Disposition 2nd shipment	9/30/99	AME	M. Haas
	1.1.08.03.06.01	Develop a methodology and process to address beryllium contaminated records.	1/8/99		G. Dan
	1.1.08.03.07	Develop and begin implementation of a process by which records will be dispositioned in closure buildings	1/8/99		G. Dan
	1.1.08.04.01.09	Submit EPCRA Tier II Report	2/15/99		S. MacLeod
	1.1.08.03.07	Records Requirements matrix and closure project records checklist	3/31/99		G. Dan
_	1.1.08.03.07	Develop and begin Implementation of electronic records archival plan	4/1/99		G. Dan
	1.1.08.03.07	Begin inclusion of closure document requirements in project planning documents and contracts	4/30/99		G. Dan
	1.1.08.03.09.01	Annual Update of the RDL	6/1/99		
	1.1.08.04.01.09	Submit EPCRA Form R. Report	6/15/99		M. Regan
	1.1.08.04.01.01/03	Air Quality Compliance - Annual Flow Profile Analysis			S. MacLeod
	1.1.08.04.01.01/03	Air Quality Compliance - RAD NESHAP Annual Report	7/21/99		R. McCallister
)-12**	1.1.08.04.01.01/03	Air Quality Compliance - Fuel Oil Compliance Report	6/21/99		R. McCallister
	1.1.08.04.01.01	Air Quality Compliance - Interim Status Compliance Report	4/1/99		R. McCallister
)-14 <b>**</b>	1.1.08.04.01.01	Air Quality Compliance - CFC Equipment Registration	9/1/99		R. McCallister
			6/25/99	AME	R. McCallister
	PBD 34		<del> </del> -		
	WBS Element	Milestone Description	<u> </u>		
		Compensation System	Due Date		
		Allocation of Indirect costs to unallowables	6/30/99		M. Tinney
	1.1.08.02.01.05	General Accounting system	9/30/99		M. Tinney
		FY99 Uncosted Balance	9/30/99		M.Tinnney
		Small Business/Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting	9/30/99		M. Tinney
		Chief Bearings Shadvariaged business Supcontracting	9/30/99	GO	M Beil
		*If VLI minore these milestance had been a			
		*If KH misses these milestones but later completes the related performance measures (99/00-SS04A for PBD08			
j	*	milestones and 99/00-02R for PBD09 milestones), the missed milestones that relate to the Performance Measures			
		listed above will be considered complete.			
		**These milestones are required by regulatory agreement and are due on the date shown. They will be considered	ļ		
.		to be discord for the purpose of this extract y agreement and are due on the date snown. They will be considered			
		to be missed for the purposes of this rating plan if they are completed after the stipulated due date.	1		

## **FY 2000 Comprehensive Performance Measure Milestones**

Milstone #	Milestone	Date	RFFO SME	KH SME
	Energy Conservation-signs to shutoff lights & equip. in at least 20 active buildings	8/31/00	Joe Legare	
	Evaluate lighting panels in trailer facilities & label switches that can be turned off	3/31/00	Joe Legare	
	Publish 6 Energy Management articles in Endvision	9/30/00	Joe Legare	
	Conduct inhouse energy conservation assessment and complete corrective action plans	·	Joe Legare	
	Complete Annual Site Exercise		Shirley Olinger	
	1st quarter PEMs, FIMS FA reconciliation for Real Property		Steve Schiesswohl	
1	2nd quarter PEMs, FIMS fA reconciliation for Real Property		Steve Schiesswohl	
	3rd quarter PEMs, FIMS FA reconciliation for Real Property	7/28/00	Steve Schiesswohl	
	1st quarter space utilization/management plan status		Steve Schiesswohl	
	2nd quarter space utilization/management plan status		Steve Schiesswohl	
	3rd Quarter space utilization/management plan status		Steve Schiesswohl	
	Complete annual fire drill in all occupied facilities		Shirley Olinger	
	Complete annual security program team self assessment		Jim Steward	
	Submit Annual Traffic Management Statistical Report	6/30/99	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Complete Annual Force on Force Exercise	12/31/99	Jim Steward	
	Complete Annual Critical System Element Effectiveness Test	9/30/00	Jim Steward	
	Complete Annual Security Refresher Briefing	12/31/99	Jim Steward	
	Complete Annual Weapons Inventory	8/30/00	Jim Steward	
!	No greater than 15% uncosted balances	9/30/00	Mary Ann Tinney	G. Crockett
	Implementation of PMRS		Mary Ann Tinney	G. Crockett
_	Complete removal of 80% of inventory of radioactive sources	9/29/00	Matt McCormick	
	Complete removal of 80% of inventory loose hazardous material	<del></del>	Matt McCormick	
	Implement B771/774 BFO revision 3	3/31/00	Matt McCormick	<del></del>
	Install a second inner tent demolition chamber	3/31/00	Matt McCormick	<del>                                     </del>
	Issue compliant packaging & disposition of 500 drums of legacy waste	9/30/00	Noe Legare	
	Receive beneficial occupancy for completing B906 mods to store TRU/TRM waste		Joe Legare	
	Issue the 903 Pad decision document to the state for review and approval		Joe Legare	1
	Draft Plutonium Migration Report for RFFO and public comment per RFCA		Joe Legare	<del> </del>
	Draft Demonition RSOP for RFFO and public comment per RFCA	8/1/00		<u> </u>
-	Draft Dismantelment RSOP for RFFO and public comment per RFCA	8/1/00		<u> </u>
	Draft Revision 3 of the FDCM for budget call use	4/3/00		J
	Issue readiness determination list for FY00		Shirley Olinger	
	Issue Site SAR annual update for FY00		Shirley Olinger	



## **FY 2000 Comprehensive Performance Measure Milestones**

ilstone#	Milestone	Date	RFFO SME	KH SME
	Have LIMS operational and producing all required EDDs	12/30/99		
, ,	Water Standard	4/13/00	Joe Legare	
	Complete Weed Management		Joe Legare	<del>                                       </del>
	Complete Annual Flow Profile Analysis		Joe Legare	<del> </del> -
	Issue Radionuclide Air Emmissions Annual Report		Joe Legare	
	Complete Annual Scoundwater Monitoring & Regulatory Report		Joe Legare	
	Design for Contamination Modifications Complete	2/17/00		M. Hill
	PuSPS System Testing in B371 Complete - Stab.		Matt McCormick	M. Hill
	Readiness Demonstration Complete for stabilization Sys.		Matt McCormick	M. Hill
	Increase calorimetry capacity to support accelerated 2006 Closure Plan		Shirley Olinger	M. Hill
	Implement AB Document for B559/790 Cluster		Shirley Olinger	M. Hill
	Installation of Transfer Switch B371/374 Cluster Closure Project		Matt McCormick	M. Hill
	Implement B771 BFO Rev 3		Matt McCormick	
	Complete WIPP Certification of Salt, Ash, Dry and Wet Combustibles		Matt McCormick	1
	Implement WIPP WAP		Joe Legare	<del></del>
	Begin glovebox decommissioning (size reduction) in B776/777		Matt McCormick	<del> </del>
	Develop and implement a project management process for D&D projects		Matt McCormick	
	Issue B371 AC template		Matt McCormick	<u> </u>
	Implement A Waste Management Plan in B771		Matt McCormick	<del> </del>
	Demonstrate hot cutting operatins in B771		Matt McCormick	·
	Submit BIO page change for B776/777 mechanical and thermal cutting		Matt McCormick	<b>†</b>
	Submit BIO annual update for B776/777	7/10/00	Matt McCormick	
	Complete B776/777 downgrade of security access requiremetns from Q to L		Matt McCormick	
	Initiate full scale operation of 8776/777 remotely operated size reduction system		Matt McCormick	<del></del>
	Complete draining of remaining tanks/ancillary equipment in B776/777 excluding room 146 to		- ··· <u>-</u> ··-	
	RCRA stable condition	7/11/00	Matt McCormick	
	Complete IWOP packages for worksets to be decommissioned in FY01 for 776/777		Mast McCormick	1
	Building 3/1 Cluster RLCR dellivered to DOE		Matt McCormick	<b>†</b>
	Building 371 Cluster DOP Approved		Matt McCormick	<del>                                     </del>
	Complete annual update to AB (including revision for decommissioning) for B371		Matt McCormick	
	Final report on behavior of Pu in concrete in soil (PBD 30)		Matt McCormick	<del>                                     </del>
/	Start sand, slag and crucible repackaging in B371		Matt McCormick	
/	BIO implementation complete for B707		Matt McCormick	
	DOP completed for B707		Matt McCormick	
			Joe Legare	<del> </del>



## FY 2000 Comprehensive Performance Measure Milestones

Milstone #	Milestone	Date	RFFO SME	KH SME
	All monthly and quarterly reports required by the NPDES permit are submitted to RFFO on			
	time and are of a quality sufficient to meet the terms of the permit.	Quarterly	Joe Legare	·
	The IMC passes an audit of the WEMS data base with fewer than 5% discrepancy between the			
	data base and actual field conditions.	Quarterly	Joe Legare	

Page 1 of 3

99/00-SS04A Measure No.

SECTION 1-ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

Initial Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (BCWS) under this PM:

Maximum Available Incentive Fee Associated with this Measure: \$5,500,000

PBS No.

WBS Element No(s).
1.1.04.09.06

Fee Billings should reflect B&R Code No.

SECTION II - CLOSURE PROJECT WEIGHTING

Closure Project Impact Rating (4=High, 2=Medium, 1=Low)

Critical Path: 4 Cost: 2 Technical

Technical Difficulty:

4 Safety/Risk:

4

This Performance Measure supports site closure in 2010.

Rocky Flats Closure Project Management Plan commitment 1.1.g is supported by this Performance Measure.

SECTION III - PERFORMANCE MEASURE

## Plutonium Packaging System (Superstretch A)

1. Produce up to a combined total of 477 compliant containers of plutonium metals and oxides by September 30, 2000.

#### SECTION IV - PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

PREVIOUS YEAR'S GATEWAY Describe previous year's gateway (if applicable) that must be completed before fee can be paid under this performance measure. The requirements listed below are the only gateway requirements for this PM.

None

DEFINE COMPLETION Specify Performance Elements and describe indicators of success (quality/progress). Include baseline documents/data against which completion documentation should be compared.

- 1. Produce up to a combined total of 477 compliant containers of Pu Metals & Oxides by September 30, 2000.
  - A container is considered complete when it meets the DOE-STD-3013 standard or approved alternative.
    The weld of the outer container must be American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure
    Vessel Safety Code, Section IX compliant. The plutonium content of each container can be either
    plutonium metal or oxide, and will be loaded as close to 4.4 kg (metal) or 5.0 kg (oxide) as is reasonable,
    given material configurations.

#### **Cost Restrictions:**

The following terms and conditions apply to the cost restrictions:

- The cost restriction will be calculated on total CVof all WBS elements identified on this rating plan.
- CV will be calculated at the measurement point(s) using the Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)
  derived by the earned value method approved by the RFFO SCCB (and based on the budgeted cost
  approved by the RFFO SCCB) and compared to the ACWP from M\*PM at the measurement point(s).

 $%CV = \frac{BCWP \text{ (based on } BCWS) - ACWP}{BCWP \text{ (based on } BCWS)} \times 100$ 

- Measurement point(s) for applying the cost restriction calculation will be September 30, 2000, unless the
  entire PM is completed sooner, then the measurement point will be at the completion date. As the CV is to
  be cumulative, CV taken at measurement points occurring after September 30, 1999, will calculated from
  October 1, 1998, and will include all FY 99 costs to arrive at a cumulative CV for the rating period at the
  measurement point.
- Fee forfeited at a measurement point under this cost restriction clause is not recoverable should the cumulative negative CV recover to a positive CV later in the rating period.
- If within 90 days of a measurement point it is determined that costs had been incorrectly stated in the cost accounting system at the measurement point, an appropriate retroactive adjustment (either loss or award) will be made.

Page 2 of 3

99/00-SS04A Measure No.

- The earned value calculation methodology for the activities identified by the WBS elements in this rating
  plan may not be changed from the beginning earned value method unless the proposed change is approved
  by the RFFO SCCB.
- BCWS based on the budgeted cost approved by the RFFO SCCB may be changed only in accordance with SCCB procedures.

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

<u>BCWS Approved By RFFO SCCB</u>: The Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (BCWS) is the beginning FY 99 budgeted cost for this PM as approved by the RFFO SCCB, including any BCPs approved during the year. Cumulative FY 99/00 budgeted cost for use in this PM is also defined as FY 99 ending RFFO SCCB approved budgeted cost <u>plus</u> FY 00 budgeted cost.

 $\frac{\text{Cost Variance \% (CV)}}{\text{BCWP (based on BCWS)}} = \frac{\text{BCWP (based on BCWS)}}{\text{BCWP (based on BCWS)}} \times 100$ 

BCWP: The Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP) is the earned value at the measurement point. The BCWP used for cost restrictions will be based on the SCCB approved budgeted cost, which is the beginning FY 99 budgeted cost for this PM including any BCPs approved during the year. Cumulative FY 99/00 BCWP for use in this PM is also defined as FY 99 ending BCWP, as based on the RFFO SCCB approved budgeted cost plus FY 00 BCWP as based on the budgeted cost.

COMPLETION DOCUMENTS LIST: (In addition to the Completion Report, the document(s) that should be submitted/data that should be available/actions to be taken by evaluator, to determine actual performance to the requirements stated above.

A list of compliant oxide and metal DOE-STD-3013 containers by number. The list can be verified by review of the detailed production information maintained in the classified database.

BCWS Reconciliation Schedule for Cost Variance Calculation - The Contractor must submit a BCWS reconciliation schedule with the completion documents. The schedule must show the beginning year budgeted cost approved by the SCCB and all modifications to the WBS baseline. This includes all Type I and Type II BCPs and ACPs. The schedule should reflect the BCP/ACP number, the date processed, the amount of the budget change, and the cumulative impact of the change. Cost variance will be calculated using the RFFO SCCB approved budgeted cost. This schedule shall be submitted at the end of the second, third and fourth quarters of FY 2000.

ASSUMPTIONS/TECHNICAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS: For reasonably foreseeable impacts to performance which are not covered under the Contract.' If the assumption or condition proves false the remedy is renegotiation unless stated otherwise.

- The Government-Furnished Property (Packaging System), as delivered to Kaiser-Hill will be capable of supporting a throughput of, on average, not less than one compliant container of either metal or oxide every two (2) hours without any additional modifications or rework. The packaging machine will operate at an availability of not less than 72%.
- Plutonium metal that is currently considered classified by virtue of chemical characteristics can be packaged in compliant containers.
- Metals & Oxides can be packaged in compliant containers except for classified shapes.
- The Government-Furnished Property (packaging system) as delivered to Kaiser-Hill is year 2000 compliant.
- The mitigation and recovery from potential inner can contamination incidence will not adversely affect the production throughput.
- DOE-STD-3013 or successor will relieve or eliminate the requirement for the inner container contamination level to be less than the values established in 10 CFR 835 Appendix D.

Page 3 of 3

99/00-SS04A Measure No.

## SECTION V EARNINGS SCHEDULE

List percent of PM fee available for completion of each Element, and the schedule by which the fee may be earned. (Schedule identifies point(s) at which fee may be earned - does not define completion.)

100% of PM fee for producing up to a combined total of 477 compliant containers of Pu metals and oxides by September 30, 2000.

- Up to \$3,190,000 at a rate of \$9,460 per each compliant container of Plutonium metal up to 337 containers.
- \$16,500 per each compliant container of Plutonium oxide up to a maximum fee of \$5,500,000 for the combined metal and oxide container total.
- No fee will be paid in this Performance Measure for more than 477 containers of plutonium metal or oxide.
- Fee will be paid in each quarter for the compliant containers produced in that quarter.

The following cost restrictions apply to this PM:

- Fee earned for this performance measure shall be reduced at the measurement point(s) by:

  1% for every negative 1% cumulative Cost Variance (CV) > -14% ≤ -19%

  3% for every negative 1% cumulative CV > -19% but ≤ -25%,

  5% for every negative 1% cumulative CV > -25%
- Total fee reduced under this cost restriction clause shall not exceed 75% of fee earned for this PM.

	VI - SIGNATURES  Kaiser-Hill President		
J.C. Fulton	Robert Card	Signature of Kaiser-Hill President	Date Signed
Initial		11/M	12/22/0
Responsible RFFO Mgr:	RFFO Manager	Signature of RFFO Manager	Date Signed
H. Dalton	Jessie Roberson	10	Jule Dighed
Initial RFFOP 5700.5, A	ttachment A	The Robe	11/24/99
		Form Revision I Rating Plan R	Date: 7/7/98 by RFFO PPI evised 11/15/99 2:42 PM

Page 1 of 2

99/00-SS04b Measure No.

SECTION I - ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

Initial Budgeted Cost of (BCWS) under this PM		Maximum Available Incentive Fee Associated with this Measure: \$1,500,000			
PBS No. 8	WBS Element No(s). 1.1.04.09.06	Fee Billings should reflect B&R Code No.			

#### SECTION II - CLOSURE PROJECT WEIGHTING

Closure Project Impact Rating (4=High, 2=Medium, 1=Low)

Critical Path: 4 Cost: 2 Technical Difficulty:

4 Safety/Risk:

4

This Performance Measure supports site closure in 2006.

Rocky Flats Closure Project Management Plan commitment 1.1.g is supported by this Performance Measure.

SECTION III - PERFORMANCE MEASURE

### Plutonium Packaging System (Superstretch B)

1. Produce up to a combined total of 500 additional compliant containers of plutonium metals and oxides by September 30, 2000.

#### SECTION IV - PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

SUPERSTRETCH GATEWAY Describe previous year's gateway (if applicable) that must be completed before fee can be paid under this performance measure. The requirements listed below are the only gateway requirements for this PM.

Produce a minimum of 140 compliant containers of Plutonium Oxides, and complete all of Performance Measure 99/00-SS04A.

Reference Performance Measure 99/00-SS04A Plutonium Packaging System.

DEFINE COMPLETION Specify Performance Elements and describe indicators of success (quality/progress). Include baseline documents/data against which completion documentation should be compared.

- 1. Produce up to a combined total of 500 additional compliant containers of Pu Metals & Oxides by September 30, 2000.
  - A container is considered complete when it meets the DOE-STD-3013 standard or approved alternative. The weld of the outer container must be American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety Code, Section IX compliant. The plutonium content of each container can be either plutonium metal or oxide, and will be loaded as close to 4.4 kg (metal) or 5.0 kg (oxide) as is reasonable, given material configurations.

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

None

COMPLETION DOCUMENTS LIST: (In addition to the Completion Report, the document(s) that should be submitted/data that should be available/actions to be taken by evaluator, to determine actual performance to the requirements stated above.

A list of compliant oxide and metal DOE-STD-3013 containers by number. The list can be verified by review of the detailed production information maintained in the classified database.

Page 2 of 2

99/00-SS04b Measure No.

ASSUMPTIONS/TECHNICAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS: For reasonably foreseeable impacts to performance which are not covered under the Contract. If the assumption or condition proves false the remedy is renegotiation unless stated otherwise.

- The Government-Furnished Property (Packaging System), as delivered to Kaiser-Hill will be capable of supporting a throughput of, on average, not less than one compliant container of either metal or oxide every two (2) hours without any additional modifications or rework. The packaging machine will operate at an availability of not less than 72%.
- Plutonium metal that is currently considered classified by virtue of chemical characteristics can be packaged in compliant containers.
- Metals & Oxides can be packaged in compliant containers except for classified shapes.
- The mitigation and recovery from potential inner container contamination incidence will not adversely affect the production throughput.
- DOE-STD-3013 or successor will relieve or eliminate the requirement for the inner container contamination level to be less than the values established in 10 CFR 835 Appendix D.

### SECTION V - EARNINGS SCHEDULE

List percent of PM fee available for completion of each Element, and the schedule by which the fee may be earned. (Schedule identifies point(s) at which fee may be earned - does not define completion.)

100% of PM fee for producing up to a combined total of 500 additional compliant containers of Pu metals and oxides by September 30, 2000.

- \$2,000 per each compliant container of Plutonium metal up to 500 containers
- \$4,000 per each compliant container of Plutonium oxide up to 375 containers.
- The maximum fee earned under this performance measure for the combined total of plutonium metal and oxide cannot exceed \$1,500,000 regardless of the number of each produced.
- Fee will be paid in each quarter for the compliant containers produced in that quarter.

SECITON	V1-SIGNATURES	Control of the Contro	
Responsible K-H Mgr:	Kaiser-Hill President	Signature of Kaiser-Hill Pres	sident Date Signed
J.C. Fulton	Robert Card		June orgined
(nitial		MM	12/22/2
Responsible RFFO Mgr:	RFFO Manager	Signature of RFFO Manager	/ • /
H. Dalton	Jessie Roberson	$\{(\cdot\}, \cdot, \cdot\}$	Date Signed
Initial		Me Kolen	1//21/00
RFFOP 5700.5, A	ttachment A .	<del></del>	- 1/104/79
		Form Rati	Revision Date: 7/7/98 by RFFO PPI

## **ENCLOSURE #2**

## SECTION J, ATTACHMENT I, PERFORMANCE BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE MATRIX, FOR FY99/00

(Contains 9 pages, numbered AI – 1 through AI - 9)

# Attachment I - Performance Breakdown Structure Matrix RFETS Performance Measures for FY 1999 and FY2000

Functional Area		Performance Measures
SNM Liquids	99/00-01.1R B371 Closure	<ol> <li>Maximum Fee This Measure: \$1,850,000</li> <li>Drain actinide liquids from B371 Areas and process liquids through the Caustic Waste Treatment System (CWTS) by June 30, 1999.</li> <li>Process all liquids from B771 tap and drain through CWTS or bottlebox by September 30, 1999.</li> <li>Complete stabilization of Ion Exchange resins by March 31, 1999.</li> </ol>
SNM Residues	99/00-02R SNM Residues	Maximum Fee This Measure: \$4,000,000  1. Make disposition ready 4200 kg of Residue Salts by September 30, 1999. 2. Make disposition ready 7950 kg of Ash by September 30, 1999. 3. Make disposition ready 4800 kg of combustibles by September 30, 1999. 4. Make disposition ready 8700 kg of Inorganics by September 30, 1999  SNM Residue Gateway
SNM Packaging	99/00-04R Plutonium Packaging System	<ul> <li>Maximum Fee This Measure: \$500,000</li> <li>1. Install and take beneficial occupancy of the Plutonium Packaging System by September 15, 1999.</li> </ul>

Functional Area	Title	Performance Measures
Facility Deactivation	99/00-06R B771 Deactivation	<ol> <li>Maximum Fee This Measure: \$1,737,750</li> <li>Drain 12 and remove 12 Building 771 process piping systems by September 30, 1999.</li> <li>Complete 4 D&amp;D work Sets by September 30, 1999.</li> </ol>
<b>T</b>	00/00 07 17	SNM Liquids and Facility Deactivation Gateway  Maximum Fee This Measure: \$1,750,000
Facility Demolition	99/00-07.1R 779 Cluster Closure	<ol> <li>Remove and package as waste the remaining gloveboxes (estimated 43) by June 30, 1999.</li> <li>Demolish B729 and remove building rubble waste by September 30, 1999.</li> </ol>
		Facility Demolition Gateway
Facility Demolition	99/00-07.3R  NE Quadrant Decommissioning and Demolition	<ol> <li>Maximum Fee This Measure: \$250,000</li> <li>Demolish B308A and all ancillary treatment equipment, and remove all excess equipment and debris in and around the solar pond area by September 30, 1999.</li> <li>Dismantle the 207A Clarifier (tank 138) and surrounding plywood structure by June 30, 1999.</li> <li>Demolish Buildings B788, B788A, and T788A by September 30, 1999.</li> </ol>
Facility Demolition	99/00-07.5R B776/777 Deactivation	Maximum Fee This Measure: \$1,000,000  Remove hazardous oils from B776/777 and complete deactivation activities by September 30, 1999.

Functional  Arch	Title	Performance Measures
Environmental	99/00-08R	Maximum Fee This Measure: \$700,000
Remediation	Groundwater Plume Remediation	Complete installation and operation of the remedial action as described in the Decision documents for the:  1. Solar Pond plume by September 30, 1999.  2. Pad 903/Ryans Pit plume by September 30, 1999.  3. East Trenches plume by September 30, 1999.  4. Completion and submittal of PU&D Yard NFA and complete gamma spectroscopy sampling at 903 Pad by March 31, 1999.
		Environmental Remediation Gateway
SNM Shipment	99/00-10R	Maximum Fee This Measure: \$1,232,165
	SNM Shipment	1. Complete shipping non-plutonium contaminated enriched uranium to Y-12 by September 30, 1999.
		2. Ship 100kg of Sand Slag & Crucible (SS&C) by September 30, 1999
		3. Ship 50% of Scrub Alloy by September 30, 1999
		SNM Shipments Gateway
LLW/LLMW	99/00-12.1R	Maximum Fee This Measure: \$1,430,000
Shipment	Waste Shipment	1. Ship 6274 cubic meters of waste for disposal or recycle by September 30, 1999.
		Minimum cumulative shipments will be 6274 cubic meters from the following waste types: LLW- Low Level Waste, LLMW – Low Level Mixed Waste, TRU – Transuranic, TRM – Transuranic Mixed and HW – Hazardous Waste.
		Waste Shipments Gateway

Comprehensive Performance	99/00-14R Comprehensive Performance	Maximum Fee This Measure: NA  1. Maintain accurate and reliable reporting of costs. 2. Complete Project Baseline Description milestones 3. Maintain the FY99 baseline safety performance level 4. Assure compliance with contractual requirements 5. Year 2000 Readiness
Comprehensive Performance	99/00-14.1R Comprehensive Performance	Maximum Fee This Measure: NA  1. Maximize Overtime Utilization
Comprehensive Performance	99/00-14.2R Comprehensive Performance	Maximum Fee This Measure: NA  1. Maximize Overtime Utilization
SNM Liquids	99/00-SS01A B371 Closure (Superstretch A)	Maximum Fee This Measure: \$600,000  1. Process liquids from B771 tap and drain through CWTS or bottlebox by June 30, 2000.  SNM Liquids Gateway
SNM Liquids	99/00-SS01.1A B371 Closure (Superstretch A)	Maximum Fee This Measure: \$250,000  1. Remove/disposition holdup from tanks in B371 Rooms 3517 (5 tanks) by June 30 2000.

SNM Residues	99/00-SS02A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$4,000,000
	SNM Residues	1. Make disposition ready 7500 kg of Residue Salts by June 30, 2000
	(Superstretch A)	<ol> <li>Make disposition ready 7300 kg of Ash by June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Make disposition ready 5460 kg of Combustibles by June 30, 2000</li> <li>Make disposition ready 8400 kg of Inorganics by June 30, 2000.</li> </ol>
		SNM Residue Gateway
SNM Packaging	99/00-SS04A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$5,500,000
	Plutonium Packaging System	1. Produce up to a combined total of 477 compliant containers of plutonium metals and oxides by September 30, 2000.
	(Superstretch A)	
•	99/00-SS06A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$3,250,000
Facility	B771 Deactivation	1. Drain 5 and remove 2 building 771 process piping systems by June 30, 2000
Deactivation	(Superstretch A)	2. Close the B771 MAA by December 31, 1999 3. Complete 4 D&D Work Sets by June 30, 2000.
		SNM Liquids and Facility Deactivation Gateway
Facility	99/00-SS07.1A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$3,250,000
Demolition	779 Cluster	1. Demolish the B779 cluster by June 30, 2000
	Closure	Facility Damalitian Catagon
	(Superstretch A)	Facility Demolition Gateway
Facility	99/00-SS07.2A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,500,000
Demolition	886 Cluster	Decommission the Building 886 Cluster by June 30, 2000
1	Decommissioning (Superstretch A)	Complete removal of all equipment and systems from the Building 886 cluster and complete final radiation survey necessary to proceed with demolition by June 30, 2000.

Fasctional	Time the same	
Facility	99/00-SS07.6A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$300,000
Demolition	B707 Closure Acceleration	<ol> <li>Deactivate Module G by June 30, 2000</li> <li>Removal of in process SNM Holdup in C110 dip tank to inventory clean conditions by June 30, 1999.</li> </ol>
SNM Shipments	99/00-SS10A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$3,122,460
	SNM Shipments	1. Ship 556 containers of Meals and Oxides by June 30, 2000
	(Superstretch A)	<ul><li>2. Ship 1500 kg of Sand Slag and Crucible (SS&amp;C) by June 30, 2000</li><li>3. Ship 240 kg of Fluoride Residues by June 30, 2000.</li></ul>
·	·	4. Complete Scrub Alloy shipments by November 30, 1999.
		SNM Shipments Gateway
LLW/LLMW	99/00-SS12.1A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,820,000
Shipments	Waste Shipments	1. Ship 8108 cubic meters of waste for disposal or recycle by June 30, 2000.
	(Superstretch A)	Minimum cumulative shipments will be 8108 meters from the following waste types:  LLW – Low Level Waste, LLMW – Low Level Mixed Waste, TRU – Transuranic,  TRM – Transuranic Mixed and HW – Hazardous Waste
		Waste Shipments Gateway
	99/00-SS13A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,000,000
	Decrease Radiation Exposure	
	(SuperStretch A)	

Year 2000 Compliance	99/00-SS15A	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,545,000
	Year 2000 Readiness (Superstretch)	1. A formal letter from Kaiser-Hill President and Chief Financial Officer documenting that Renovation, Validation and Implementation activities associated with Year 2000 readiness have been completed for Mission Essential, RFETS Critical, and RFETS Non-Critical systems and that the Site is Year 2000 Ready, submitted to the Manager, Rocky Flats Field Office.
		\$162,624 of fee unallocated. Regular and SS PMs. PM to be determined.
SNM Liquids	99/00-SS01b	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,000,000
·	B371 Closure (Superstretch b)	<ol> <li>Strip out and reduce hazards of Rooms 1111, 3412, 224 Plenum and 2307 in B371 by June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Disposition all five oversized crates and gloveboxes inside (stripped out from Room 3701) as waste by June 30, 2000.</li> </ol>
SNM Residue	99/00-SS02b	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$4,556,500
·	SNM Residues (Superstretch b)	<ol> <li>Make disposition ready remaining (approximately 500 kg) Residue Salts by June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Made disposition ready remaining (approximately 7900 kg) Ash by June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Make disposition ready remaining (approximately 9940 kg) Combustibles by June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Make disposition ready remaining (approximately 15,982 kg) Inorganics by June 30, 2000.</li> </ol>
		SNM Residue Gateway
SNM Packaging	99/00-SS04b	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,500,000
	Plutonium Packaging System (Superstretch b)	1. Produce a minimum of 140 compliant containers of plutonium metal oxide by September 30, 2000, and complete all of Performance Measure 99/00-SS04A by earning all \$5,500,000 of fee for producing a mixture of plutonium metals and oxides.
		SNM Packaging Gateway

Facility Deactivation	99/00-SS06b B771 Deactivation (Superstretch b)	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$7,350,000  1. Drain 18 and remove 21 remaining Building 771 piping systems by June 30, 2000. 2. Complete 13 additional D&D Work Sets by June 30, 2000. 3. Close the B771 MAA before December 31, 1999.  Facility Deactivation Gateway
Facility Demolition	99/00-SS07.1b 779 Cluster Closure (Superstretch b)	<ol> <li>Maximum Fee this Measure: \$3,200,000</li> <li>Demolish the Building 779 and the remaining cluster buildings, with exception of B729 before June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Disposition building rubble in accordance with Demolition Plan or equivalent document before June 30, 2000.</li> </ol>
Facility Demolition	99/00-SS07.5b B776/777 Decommissioning (Supberstretch b)	<ol> <li>Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,750,000</li> <li>Decommission five (5) facility disposition Work Sets (as described in the latest version of the B776/777 Decommissioning Operations Plan (DOP)) by June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Close the B776 Material Access Area (MAA) by June 30, 2000.</li> </ol>
Facility Demolition	99/00-SS07.7b Type I & Type II Building Closure (Superstretch b)	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,400,000  1. Demolish up to 50,000 square feet of Type I and/or 50,000 square feet of Type 2 structures (per the Decommissioning Program Plan definition) by June 30, 2000.
Property Disposition	99/00-SS09b Property Disposal (Superstretch b)	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$250,000  1. Disposition 250 cargo containers, including their contents, by June 30, 2000.

SNM Shipment	99/00-SS10b	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$811,920
	SNM Shipments (Superstretch b)	1. Ship 240 additional Containers of Metals or Oxides by June 30, 2000.
SNM Packaging	99/00-SS04c	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,660,000
	Plutonium Packaging System	<ol> <li>Produce 1320 (50 additional) compliant containers of plutonium metal or oxide by June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Produce 520 compliant containers of Pu metal or oxide by December 31, 1999.</li> </ol>
,	(Superstretch c)	
		SNM Packaging Gateway
Facility	99/00-SS06c	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$2,475,000
Deactivation	B771 Deactivation (Superstretch c)	1. Complete 9 additional D&D Work Sets by June 30, 2000.
	(Supersusion S)	Facility Deactivation Gateway
Facility	99/00-SS07.2c	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,105,000
Demolition	B886 Cluster Closure	<ol> <li>Demolish the B886 Cluster on or before June 30, 2000.</li> <li>Disposition building rubble in accordance with the Im/IRA or equivalent document</li> </ol>
·	(Superstretch c)	before June 30, 2000.
Facility	99/00-SS07.5c	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,650,000
Demolition	B776/777 Decommissioning	1. Complete six (6) D&D Work Sets (as described in the latest version of the B776 Decommissioning Operations Plan by June 30, 2000.
	(Supberstretch c)	Facility Deactivation Gateway
Facility	99/00-SS07.7c	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,400,000
Demolition }	Type I & Type II Building Closure (Superstretch c)	1. Demolish up to an additional 50,000 square feet of Type I and/or 50,000 square feet of Type 2 structures (per the Decommissioning Program Plan definition) by June 30, 2000.
·		Facility Deactivation Gateway

Property	99/00-SS09c	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$250,000
Disposition	Property Disposal (Superstretch c)	Disposition 250 cargo containers, including their contents, by June 30, 2000.
	(Superstreterie)	Property Disposal Gateway
SNM Shipment	99/00-SS10c	Maximum Fee this Measure: \$1,479,580
	SNM Shipments (Superstretch c)	<ol> <li>Ship 260 additional Containers of Plutonium Metals or Oxides by June 30, 2000</li> <li>Ship 500 Containers of Plutonium Metals or Oxides before January 1, 2000.</li> </ol>
		SNM Shipment Gateway